

United States Patent and Trademark Office



NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE alted States Patent and Trademark Office affects: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/642,270	08/18/2003	Hisatoshi Hirota	030897	2427
	590 02/25/2005	EXAMINER		
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			NGUYEN, TUAN N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/642,270	HIROTA, HISATOSHI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tuan N. Nguyen	3751				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
- Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Anv	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The control of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 control of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 control of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 control of the provision of t	38(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTA Cause the application to become a	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 15 from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status			_				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	nuary 2005					
		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. /						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers	-					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) 🗆 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□/	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
		have been a second					
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17 2/a))	ceived in this National Stage				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	f the certified copies not red	ceived.				
		,					
ttachment	(e)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mon (RTO 442)				
) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date				
) 🖾 Inform Paper	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/18/03</u> .	5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Patent and Tra	demark Office						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/642,270 Page 2

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 Applicant's election of Species I, Fig. 1 in the reply filed on January 14, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Accordingly, claim 5 is withdrawn from further consideration.

Specification

 The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the implied language such as "The object of the present invention is to provide" should be avoided in the abstract.
 Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takano et al. (hereinafter Takano).

Takano discloses a differential pressure control valve (see Figs. 2 and 3) of pilotoperated type for controlling a flow rate of fluid so that a differential pressure between Application/Control Number: 10/642,270

Art Unit: 3751

inlet (10) and outlet (28) sides of the fluid may become equal to a differential pressure set by a value of electric current passed a solenoid thereof, characterized in that a diaphragm (67) is arranged at a sliding portion on an outer periphery of a main valve piston (64) for opening and closing a main valve element (65) of a main valve, to completely prevent the fluid from leaking through the sliding portion. The diaphragm, inherently, comprises a film shaped into annular form, the annular film having an inner peripheral edge portion clamped between the main valve piston and a fixing ring (66) secured to the main valve piston, and an outer peripheral edge portion clamped between a body containing the main valve piston and a cylindrical member (68) which is secured to the body and which slidably receives the main valve piston (see Fig. 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Takano as evidenced by Hopkins, Jr.

The Takano diaphragm is stretchable in a similar manner as that of the instant invention diaphragm; therefore, would inherently comprise a polyimide film. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Takano diaphragm out of polyimide film as, for example, taught by Hopkins, Jr. (if not already).

Art Unit: 3751

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. 5.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 6. applicant's disclosure. Saeki et al. disclose a differential pressure control valve (see Fig. 8) similar to that of the instant invention except for detail of the diaphragm and the clamping members. Kolze discloses a differential pressure control valve utilizes diaphragm.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (10:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine R. Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner